Importance of Real-Time: The Effect of Latency in Scientific Visualization

^{IIIIIT} SDLAB

Nathaniel Jones | Sustainable Design Lab | Massachusetts Institute of Technology | New England Symposium on Graphics | April 23, 2017

Problem

Glare in buildings is difficult to predict because photometrically accurate simulations are time consuming.

Architects are less likely to make use of building performance simulation if it is not interactive.

Glare caused by direct and reflected sunlight reduces productivity and – leads to increased energy use.

> False color display reveals the dynamic range of interior luminance distributions.



Results

 Progressive path tracing produces accurate Daylight Glare Probability readings within 10 frames (2 seconds).

Daylight Glare Probability (DGP) is the likelihood an individual will experience glare in a given view.

Source luminance Source solid angle

With real-time feedback, participants

proposed more designs on or near the

Pareto frontier.

Experiment

Forty participants completed a design exercise to find and mitigate glare issues in two office spaces.

They used **physically based rendering** to identify glare.

AcceleradRT

Progressive path

tracer

• 5 fps

GPU-based

Responds to

mouse navigation



They could interact with the space by changing three factors:

- Time & date (sun position)
- Camera view
- Shading devices



 DIVA-for-Rhino
Radiance-based ray tracing
20-30 seconds per rendering
Industry standard tool for architectural lighting analysis



Participants could interact with the model in three ways: changing the **time**, **shading devices**, or **camera position**. With real-time results, participants **make decisions faster**, even neglecting the time taken to run simulations.





With real-time feedback, participants moved the view to cover a greater portion of the space.

- - - -

= 🗣 🛨 =

1

* * * *

DIVA-for-Rhino



In aggregate, participants **analyzed more of the space** when they had realtime feedback.





DIVA-for-Rhino

Participants who saw progressive rendering were
more confident and satisfied with the design exercise.



3) Participants who saw progressive rendering created more optimal design solutions.

Participants had two objectives:

- Provide sufficient natural light
- Reduce risk of glare





Conclusions

- 1) Accurate predictions of glare can be produced at interactive speeds using progressive rendering, even though high-quality visualizations take longer.
- 2) Real-time results change user behavior and promote interactive user response, resulting in more exploration of the problem and of design solutions.
- 3)

4

- Users with access to real-time rendering tend to produce more optimal designs. At present, they outperform optimization algorithms.
- Users also prefer the experience of real-time feedback and feel more confident and relaxed, even when the tools are less familiar.

About



Dr. Nathaniel Jones is the developer of Accelerad, a suite of GPU-based lighting and daylighting simulation tools. Accelerad has registered users in fields from architecture and sustainability consulting to psychophysics and spacecraft design. He recently completed his PhD in building technology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, where he researched the role that simulation speed plays in how designers choose to use tools. He chairs the IBPSA-USA Emerging Simulation Technology Subcommittee, which seeks to create awareness and promote use of emerging building simulation tools and technologies within the research, industry, and government communities, and to facilitate interaction between these communities.



